

DESERTION EXAMINATION REGULATIONS:

Doctoral students and desertion work

The text of these examination regulations has been carefully prepared according to the current status; nevertheless, errors cannot be excluded. The official text published in the official gazette and available for inspection at the Examinations Office is binding.



Regulations for the Acquisition of the Academic Degree of Doctor of Natural Sciences (Dr. rer. nat.) at Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC.

enacts the following regulations:

„Preliminary note on language use:

These examination regulations contain legal provisions. According to the Basic Law, women and men have equal rights. All personal and functional designations in these regulations therefore apply equally to women and men.“

§ 1 Doctoral degree

1. The Faculty of Science I - Mathematics, the Faculty of Science II - Physics, the Faculty of Science III - Biology and Preclinical Medicine, and the Faculty of Science IV - Chemistry and Pharmacy of Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC. confer the academic degree of Doctor of Science (Dr. rer. nat.) on the basis of an ordinary doctoral procedure.
2. The faculties of Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC. mentioned in paragraph 1 shall confer the degree of honorary doctor of natural sciences (Dr. rer. nat. h. c.) as a rare distinction on individuals who have rendered outstanding scientific services in the field of natural sciences, based on a resolution of the Faculty Council.

§ 2 Doctoral Commission

1. The ordinary doctoral procedures are conducted in the faculties by doctoral committees.
2. The members of the doctoral committee are:
 1. the dean as chairman
 2. six professors of the faculty
 3. two full-time academic staff members of the faculty who are authorized to act as examiners for a doctoral degree according to the University Examination Ordinance as amended.The members according to clauses 2 and 3 are elected by the departmental council for a period of two years.
3. The PhD Commission shall constitute a quorum if all members have been duly invited and the majority of the members are present and entitled to vote; it shall pass resolutions with the majority of the votes cast; abstentions, secret ballots and the transfer of voting rights shall not be permitted. In the event of a tie, the Chairman shall have the casting vote.
4. The decisions of the doctoral committee and the dean shall be communicated to the candidate in writing. Appealing decisions shall be substantiated and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal.
5. With regard to exclusion due to personal participation applies:
6. Exclusion due to personal involvement

For members of collegial bodies also apply to deliberations and votes that do not take place in an administrative procedure. Sentence 1 shall apply mutatis mutandis to official acts of individual bodies and members of the university.

1. Any person shall be excluded from an audit activity without prejudice to the following
 1. has custody over the person being tested,
 2. maintains close economic relations with the person to be audited,
 3. has a close personal relationship with the person being tested.
2. In special cases, the management of the university may permit exceptions to sentence 1 nos. 1 and 2.
3. The involvement of a member excluded under subsections (1) and (2) in voting or in the examination shall result in the invalidity of the resolution if the involvement was decisive for the result. 2Official acts of individuals who are excluded due to personal involvement shall be invalid; this shall not apply in the case of subsection 2 sentence 1 no. 3. The dean informs the departmental council about the work of the doctoral committee.

§ 3 Prerequisites for admission

1. Admission to the doctoral procedure requires:
 1. a completed course of study (§ 4) and, if applicable, the passing of the doctoral qualifying examination
 2. the submission of a dissertation (§5)
 3. the application of the applicant (§ 6).
2. Approval shall be refused if
 1. the required documents are incomplete or incorrect,
 2. circumstances exist on the basis of which a doctoral degree could be withdrawn in accordance with the statutory provisions,

3. the applicant has definitively failed the corresponding doctoral examination and
4. a review of the dissertation by persons authorized to conduct examinations according to § 7 para. 1 cannot be guaranteed.

§ 4 Study

1. The applicant must have passed a Diplomhauptexamen in the area of application of the Basic Law in the subject in which he wishes to obtain a doctorate, or in the case of a doctorate in pharmacy, the second section of the Pharmaceutical Examination, in each case with at least the grade „good“. The doctoral committee may grant an exception to this, if necessary subject to conditions, if the study and examination results show a particular aptitude for scientific work.
2. The doctoral committee may grant admission on the basis of other diploma main examinations or equivalent examinations, including foreign examinations. It shall decide on the equivalence of the examinations. If necessary, it may make the decisions according to sentence 1 or 2 dependent on the fulfillment of conditions after hearing the representatives of the respective subject. Paragraph 1 shall apply accordingly.
3. Admission in accordance with Paragraph 2 shall be granted if the applicant has passed the First State Examination for the Teaching Profession at Gymnasiums in the subject in which he/she wishes to be awarded a doctorate with at least the grade „good“ and the written term paper, which must be written in the same subject, has been assessed with at least the grade „good“. Paragraph 1, sentence 2 applies accordingly.
4. Admission in accordance with Paragraph 2 may also be granted if the applicant has passed the First State Examination for the Teaching Profession at Elementary Schools or Elementary or Secondary Schools or at Realschulen and has completed supplementary examination work. After hearing the representatives of the subject concerned, the doctoral committee shall determine which supplementary examination achievements are to be provided by applying the relevant diploma examination regulations accordingly.
5. The requirement pursuant to paragraph 1 sentence 1 shall be deemed to have been met if the applicant has passed the doctoral qualifying examination pursuant to § 4 a.

§ 4 a Doctoral Suitability Examination

1. Admission to the doctoral qualifying examination requires that the applicant has passed a relevant final examination at a university of applied sciences with an overall grade of 1.5 or better, has not already definitively failed a doctoral qualifying examination or a comparable examination, and meets further admission requirements if these are required in Annexes 2 to 5. Unless otherwise stipulated in Annexes 2 to 5, the doctoral committee shall decide whether the final examination taken at the university of applied sciences is relevant to the subject.
2. The application for admission to the doctoral qualifying examination shall be submitted in writing to the dean of the faculty in which the doctoral subject is represented by a professor. If the doctoral subject is represented in several faculties, the faculty in which it has its main focus is responsible. The doctoral subject is the subject in which the applicant intends to write the dissertation. The applicant must include with his application:
 1. the certificate of graduation from the university of applied sciences,
 2. evidence of the existence of further admission requirements, if these are required in Annexes 2 to 5,
 3. a curriculum vitae showing the applicant's education and career,
 4. a statement as to whether he/she has already definitively failed a doctoral qualifying examination or a comparable examination,
 5. a reasoned explanation for the choice of the doctoral subject and, if applicable, for the choice of subjects for the oral examination,
 6. an official certificate of good conduct if the exmatriculation was more than three months ago.
3. The Dean to whom the application has been addressed shall decide on the application for admission to the doctoral qualifying examination by written notification. In the case of admission, the doctoral subject shall also be specified in the notice. Admission shall be denied if
 1. the faculty is not professionally responsible,
 2. the applicant does not meet the requirements specified in paragraph 1,
 3. the applicant does not submit the documents referred to in paragraph 2 or does not submit them in full,
 4. an academic degree has been withdrawn from the applicant or facts exist that entitle the applicant to withdraw an academic degree.
4. In the doctoral qualifying examination, the applicant must prove that he has the knowledge and skills required for the doctorate. If he has to prepare a scientific paper according to Annexes 2 to 5, he must show by this that he is able to work on a problem experimentally or theoretically.
5. After admission, the dean of the faculty responsible for the subject shall ensure that the examination procedure is conducted in a timely and appropriate manner. Unless otherwise specified, he/she shall make the decisions arising in the doctoral qualifying examination procedure and appoint the examiners. The applicant has the right to propose examiners; there is no legal claim to the appointment of a proposed examiner. § Section 2 (4) sentence 2 shall apply accordingly.
6. The doctoral qualifying examination shall be conducted in accordance with Annexes 2 to 5. Unless otherwise specified therein, the applicant shall be invited to the examination in writing no later than one week before the date of the examination. A change of examiner and examination venue necessary at short notice for compelling reasons is permissible. If the applicant does not appear for the examination for reasons for which he/she is responsible, the doctoral qualifying examination shall be deemed to have been failed.

7. If the doctoral qualifying examination is not passed or is deemed to have been failed, it may be repeated once. The application for the repetition procedure must be submitted within six months after notification of the failure of the doctoral aptitude examination, unless the Dean grants the applicant an additional period of time due to special reasons for which he/she is not responsible. A scientific paper accepted in the doctoral aptitude examination is recognized for the repeat examination.
8. The applicant shall receive a certificate signed by the dean of the faculty responsible for the subject area confirming that he/she has passed the doctoral qualifying examination.

§ 5 Dissertation

1. The dissertation must be an independent scientific achievement of the candidate, which can be attributed to the scientific area of the respective faculty. It must present the results achieved in an appropriate form.
2. The dissertation should be submitted as a signed typewritten manuscript, DIN A 4 or DIN A 5 in size. It should be firmly bound, paginated, have a table of contents, and include an abstract that provides information about the problem and results. The literature used as well as other auxiliary sources are to be indicated completely. Verbatim or almost verbatim passages taken from the literature are to be identified. The title page is to be designed according to Appendix 1. On a further page, the examination committee (§ 8) is to be indicated and, if applicable, the name of the supervisor under whose guidance the dissertation was written.
If a previously published work is submitted as a dissertation, the appropriate number of voucher copies of the printed work may be substituted for the typed copies, which must be accompanied by a bound or tipped-in title page as specified in Appendix 1.
3. For the preparation of a dissertation that is not guided by a university lecturer or a retired professor of the faculty, the applicant requires the approval of the doctoral committee. The approval may only be granted if an appropriate assessment and evaluation by at least one professor of the faculty representing the subject area is ensured.
4. A paper submitted by the candidate in another examination procedure for obtaining a doctoral degree cannot be submitted.
5. The doctoral committee may allow the candidate to submit the dissertation in a language other than German. In this case, an abstract in German may be required.

§ 6 Application for admission

1. Admission to the doctoral procedure must be applied for in writing to the doctoral committee. The application must be accompanied by:
 1. proof of fulfillment of the requirements specified in § 3 Para. 1 No. 1,
 2. a presentation of the curriculum vitae taking particular account of the course of study,
 3. Affidavit according to Annex 6,
 4. five copies of the dissertation,
 5. if necessary, the indication of the supervisor of the work,
 6. an official certificate of good conduct if the exmatriculation was more than three months ago.
2. Insofar as admission requires decisions by the doctoral committee (Section 4, Paragraph 1, Sentence 2, Paragraphs 2 to 4; Section 5, Paragraphs 3 and 5), these decisions must be applied for before work on the dissertation begins.
3. The Dean or, at the Dean's request, the Doctoral Commission shall decide on the application for admission without delay. The rejection of the application for admission requires in any case the decision of the doctoral committee.
4. The application for admission can be withdrawn as long as the applicant has not received a negative decision on the dissertation or the colloquium has not begun. In this case, the dissertation shall be deemed not to have been submitted. If the applicant withdraws the application for admission after the relevant point in time in accordance with sentence 1, the doctoral procedure shall be deemed to have ended without success.

§ 7 Assessment of the dissertation

1. After admission, the doctoral committee appoints two reviewers to evaluate the dissertation. The first reviewer is usually the supervisor of the thesis.
Each reviewer shall submit a written review of the dissertation within four weeks or, upon request, within eight weeks and shall propose to the doctoral committee the acceptance of the dissertation or its rejection. The reviewers independently evaluate the dissertation in the form of a review and assign it a grade according to the following scheme:
 - summa cum laude=grade 0
 - magna cum laude=grade 1
 - cum laude=grade 2
 - rite=note 3
 - insufficienter=Grade 4.
2. The PhD Commission shall appoint a third reviewer if the two reviewers differ by more than one grade in their proposals or in their evaluation. The same applies if a reviewer requests the appointment of another reviewer for professional reasons. The doctoral committee may appoint up to two additional reviewers. However, the total number of reviewers may not exceed four.

3. The dissertation and the expert opinion shall be available for inspection for two weeks in the office of the faculty by the members of the doctoral examination committee and the habilitated members of all faculties named in § 1 who are authorized to conduct examinations. The persons named in sentence 1 may comment on the dissertation in writing until the end of the display period. After the end of the display period, the doctoral committee decides whether the comments received require the appointment of further reviewers in accordance with paragraph 3, sentences 3 and 4.
4. The dissertation is accepted if the majority of the reviewers propose acceptance. The dissertation is rejected if at least two reviewers propose rejection.
5. With the decision on the acceptance or rejection of the dissertation, the candidate must also be informed of the grade of the dissertation. This is calculated from the arithmetic mean, determined to two decimals, of the grades assigned by the reviewers. The grading scheme in § 10 para. 2 sentence 2 is to be applied accordingly.

§ 8 Examination Committee

1. No later than eight weeks after acceptance of the dissertation, a scientific colloquium (§ 9) shall take place before the examination board.
The members of the audit committee are:
 1. a professor of the faculty, who may not be a reviewer, as chairperson;
 2. the first and second reviewers;
 3. one additional professor from one of the faculties mentioned in § 1.
 In addition to the persons named in items 1 to 3, a substitute person may be named to represent each member of the Audit Committee in the event that he or she is prevented from attending.
2. The chairperson, the members of the examination committee and, if applicable, the substitute person according to Paragraph 1 Sentence 3 are appointed by the doctoral committee at the same time as the reviewers. The composition of the examination committee will be communicated to the candidate with the notification of the decision on the acceptance of the dissertation.
3. If a member of the examination committee for whom no substitute has been appointed in accordance with paragraph 1, sentence 3 is prevented from participating in the further procedure, the doctoral committee shall appoint a professor as a member of the examination committee from a subject-specific point of view.

§ 9 Colloquium

1. The colloquium is an in-depth scientific discussion, which is intended to show that the candidate has mastered his/her field of work and other subject areas touched upon by it, and that he/she is familiar with modern developments in his/her subject. If the topic of the dissertation is subject didactics, the oral examination must cover the related subject science; another subject didactics may not be the subject of the colloquium.
2. The Dean, in consultation with the Chair of the Examination Committee, shall determine the date of the colloquium and announce it publicly.
3. The colloquium is public and lasts about 75 minutes. It is chaired by the chairman of the examination board.
4. Minutes shall be taken of the proceedings of the colloquium, which shall include a grade for these examination performances. The minutes shall be signed by the members of the examination board.
5. The colloquium shall be graded after joint discussion by the Examination Committee with a score according to the grading scale pursuant to § 7 para. 2. If the candidate does not achieve the grade „rite“ in the colloquium, the colloquium shall be failed.
6. If the colloquium is not passed, it can be repeated after three months at the earliest and after a further year at the latest. If the applicant does not apply for the repetition within this period or if the colloquium is again deemed to have been failed, the entire doctorate shall be deemed to have been definitively failed. A second repetition of the failed colloquium is permissible in special exceptional cases with the approval of the examination board within a period of six months, calculated from the announcement of the failure of the colloquium.
7. The colloquium shall be deemed to have been failed if the candidate fails to appear at the date of the colloquium without good reason or if he/she withdraws from the examination after the start of the examination without good reason. The reasons asserted for the withdrawal or the failure to appear must be reported in writing to the chairperson of the examination board without delay and must be made credible. In case of illness of the candidate, the chairman of the examination board may demand the submission of a medical certificate. If the chairman of the examination board recognizes the reasons, a new date will be set.

§ 10 Evaluation of the doctoral performance

1. The doctoral examination is passed if the colloquium has been passed and the grade of the accepted dissertation is at least „rite“.
2. The overall grade of the doctoral degree is calculated from the average (determined to two decimals) of the grade of the colloquium and the grade of the dissertation calculated according to § 7 para. 6 (to two decimals), which is weighted by a factor of 2. The following grading scheme applies to the overall grade:
 - 0.00 = summa cum laude
 - from 0.01 to 1.33 = magna cum laude

- from 1.34 to 2.50 = cum laude
 - from 2.51 to 3.33 = rite
 - from 3.34 = insufficienter
3. The result of the decision on the overall grade shall be announced orally to the candidate by the chairperson of the examination board following the colloquium. The overall grade, the grade of the dissertation and of the colloquium shall be entered in the minutes.
 4. The Dean shall issue an interim notification to the applicant regarding the result of the examination passed. This interim notification does not entitle the applicant to use the doctoral title, nor are designations such as Dr. des. or similar permissible. This provision shall be included in the interim notice.

§ 11 Invalidity of the doctoral performance

1. If, prior to the award of the certificate, it becomes apparent that the candidate has been guilty of deception in the doctoral examination procedure, the doctoral examination board shall declare invalid all qualifications acquired to date and shall discontinue the procedure.
2. If the applicant has been deceptive in the doctoral procedure and this fact only becomes known after the certificate has been issued, the doctoral committee may subsequently declare the doctoral examination failed and thus withdraw the doctoral degree.
3. If the requirements for admission to the doctoral examination were not fulfilled without the applicant intending to deceive and if this fact only becomes known after the certificate has been issued, this deficiency shall be remedied by passing the doctoral examination. If the applicant has intentionally obtained admission unlawfully, the doctoral committee shall decide on the necessary measures, taking into account the general principles governing the withdrawal of unlawful acts of conduct.
4. In the event that it is determined that the doctoral examination has not been passed in accordance with paragraph 3, the incorrect doctoral certificate shall be withdrawn.
5. In all other respects, the withdrawal of the doctoral degree shall be governed by the statutory provisions.

§ 12 Mandatory copies

1. The candidate must make the dissertation available to the scientific public through reproduction and distribution. For this purpose, he must deliver the following deposit copies of the dissertation to the Dean free of charge:
 1. 40 copies in book or photoprint for the purpose of distribution or
 2. six copies if the publication is essentially unabridged in a scientific journal, or
 3. six copies, if a commercial publisher is responsible for distribution through the book trade, a minimum circulation of 150 copies is proven, and the publication is identified as a dissertation on the reverse of the title pages, stating the location of the dissertation.
 In the cases of sentence 2 No. 1, the applicant must transfer to the university the right to produce and distribute further copies of his/her dissertation.
2. The deposit copies shall be delivered to the Dean within one year after the completion of the doctoral examination together with a confirmation of the chairperson of the examination board that the deposit copies comply with the provisions of this paragraph in terms of form and content.
3. If the deadline for delivery is exceeded, all rights acquired through the examination shall expire; however, in special cases, the PhD Commission may extend the deadline by six months if a justified request to this effect is received from the candidate before the deadline for delivery expires.
4. The Dean may consider the deadline for delivery to be met if the delivery of the deposit copies appears to be sufficiently assured by a binding declaration of the editor of the journal or of the series of publications or by the publisher about the publication of the dissertation.

§ 13 Certificate and completion of the doctorate

1. If the requirements specified in § 12 are met, the Faculty shall issue a certificate of successful completion of the doctoral examination.
2. The certificate confirms the successful completion of the doctorate in German, stating the title of the dissertation. It also contains the grade of the dissertation according to § 7 para. 6, the grade of the doctoral colloquium according to § 9 para. 5 and the overall grade according to § 10 para. 2. The certificate is signed by the acting dean. The date of issue is the date of fulfillment of all doctoral requirements.
3. The certificate is handed over by the Dean. With the handing over the doctorate is completed. This entitles the applicant to use the doctoral degree.

§ 14 Right of inspection

After completion of the doctoral procedure, the applicant may inspect the doctoral documents.

§ 15 Honorary doctorate

1. The honorary doctorate procedure shall be initiated upon a substantiated application by at least three professors of the Faculty. The application shall be addressed to the Dean.
2. The departmental council appoints at least two professors responsible for the subject to review the academic performance of the person to be honored. The application and the expert opinions shall be submitted to the members of the departmental council and to all professors of the faculty. The latter may submit a written statement within one month.
3. The Faculty Council shall decide on the award of the honorary doctorate, taking into consideration the application and the expert opinions as well as the submitted statements.
4. The Dean shall confer the honorary doctorate by presenting the honored person with a certificate. The certificate shall acknowledge the scientific achievement of the honored person.
5. In all other respects, the provisions of the honorary doctorate regulations of the University shall apply.

§ 16 Entry into force

These doctoral regulations shall enter into force on the day after they are published in accordance with local practice.

Attachment 1

Sample dissertation title page:

(Title of the work)

DISSERTATION FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF NATURAL SCIENCES
SCIENCES (DR. RER. NAT.) OF THE FACULTY N.N. YUSHIN-RYU PRIVATE UNIVERSITY LLC.

submitted by

_____ aus _____
(first name, last name) (home or place of residence)

(year)

On the inside of the title page:

Promotion application submitted on:

The work was guided by:

Audit Committee:

Enclosure 2

(to § 4 a)

Special regulations for the doctoral qualifying examination in the Faculty of Natural Sciences I - Mathematics

1. relevant to the subject is the final examination in the university of applied sciences course of studies in mathematics
2. further admission requirement is the successful participation in two seminars as well as three exercises each from the area of the main study of the diploma course of studies in mathematics at the Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC.
3. the doctoral qualifying examination consists of
 - a) a scientific paper and
 - b) an oral examination in three subjects.

Sections 6, 13, 15, 16 and 28 paragraph 4, 5 and 8 sentence 1 of the Diploma Examination Regulations for Students of Mathematics at Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC. apply to the conduct of the doctoral qualifying examination. The oral examination requires that the scientific paper has been accepted. The task-setter of the scientific work shall be appointed as an examiner for one subject of the oral examination.

4. The scientific work should be limited in terms of topics and tasks so that it can be completed within six months. In individual cases, the Dean may extend the processing time by a maximum of two months upon justified application by the person submitting the assignment. The scientific work is considered to be rejected if it has not been submitted in due time. The work is to be assessed by the assignment author and another university lecturer appointed by the chairman of the examination board as reviewers.

If both reviewers are in favor of acceptance or rejection, the scientific work is accepted or rejected, respectively. If one of the reviewers rejects the scientific work, the doctoral committee of the faculty decides, if necessary, after obtaining another review. If the thesis is rejected or considered rejected, the doctoral qualifying examination is failed. The dean shall issue a written decision to the candidate, which must be justified and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal. The Dean shall notify the applicant in writing of the acceptance of the scientific work.

5. The candidate must take the oral examination within six weeks after notification of acceptance of the scientific paper. In the oral examination, knowledge in pure mathematics, applied mathematics and in-depth knowledge in a sub-field of mathematics to be chosen by the applicant must be demonstrated.
6. The applicant will be invited to the oral examination by the examination office with a notice period of two weeks. The oral examination is an individual examination and must be taken within two weeks. The examination lasts 30 minutes in each subject. Three professors of the Faculty of Natural Sciences I - Mathematics are appointed as examiners. In addition to the examiner, an assessor must be present at the examination to prepare a report on the course of the examination. The respective examiner determines whether the candidate's performance in the examined subject meets the requirements. If the candidate's performance does not meet the requirements in all the subjects examined, the doctoral qualifying examination is not passed. The dean issues a written decision to the applicant, which must be justified and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal.
7. The doctoral qualifying examination is passed if the scientific paper and each oral subject examination have been assessed as „passed“.

Enclosure 3

(to § 4 a)

Special regulations for the doctoral qualifying examination in the Faculty of Natural Sciences II - Physics

further admission requirement is a major study of physics of at least two semesters according to the diploma examination regulations for students of physics at Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC. as amended from time to time, in which certificates of achievement (Schein) have been acquired for the following courses:

- Quantum mechanics I with exercises
- another lecture in theoretical physics with exercises,
- Advanced Practicum (four attempts),
- Training Seminar.

The doctoral qualifying examination is an oral examination in which the applicant must demonstrate that he or she has the knowledge and skills required for the doctorate in the following subjects

- Applied Physics
- Experimental physics and
- Theoretical physics disposes

8. The examiners are appointed by the doctoral committee from the group of persons named in § 6 paragraph 2 of the diploma examination regulations. The examination has a minimum duration of 90 minutes and is taken before three examiners (collegial examination). A record is made of the course of the examination.
9. The doctoral examination is passed if the majority of the examiners determine that the performance meets the requirements in all subjects examined. If the performance does not meet the requirements in all the subjects examined, the doctoral qualifying examination is failed. The Dean shall issue a written decision to the applicant, which shall be substantiated and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal.

Enclosure 4

(to § 4 a)

Special regulations for the doctoral qualifying examination in the Faculty of Natural Sciences III - Biology and Preclinical Medicine

1. further admission requirements are

- a) the successful participation in two elective internships (five semester hours each) and in one major internship (25 semester hours) from the main study program graded at least with the grade „good“ according to the examination regulations for the diploma program in biology at Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC.), and
- b) attendance of the relevant main lecture.

The determinations of the elective internships of the major internship and the major lecture are made by the doctoral committee, taking into account the respective field of the UAS degree and the doctoral degree being pursued.

2. doctoral qualifying examination shall be taken in one of the major subjects of the diploma examination listed in the Examination Regulations for the Diploma Program in Biology at Yushin-Ryu Private University LLC. as amended. The chosen major subject shall be related to the dissertation topic in terms of content.
3. the examiner for the qualifying examination is appointed in accordance with the provisions of the diploma examination regulations. The examination is oral and has a minimum duration of 60 minutes. In addition to the examiner, an assessor must be present who prepares a record of the course of the examination. The examiner determines whether the candidate's performance in the examined subject meets the requirements and thus whether the doctoral qualifying examination has been passed. If the performance does not meet the requirements, the doctoral qualifying examination is not passed. The dean issues a written decision to the applicant, which must be justified and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal.

Enclosure 5

(to § 4 a)

Special regulations for the doctoral qualifying examination in the Faculty of Natural Sciences IV - Chemistry and Pharmacy

1. the final examinations in the University of Applied Sciences degree programs listed below are relevant to the subject:
 - Technical chemistry
 - Applied chemistry
 - Biotechnology
 - Chemical Engineering
 - Food Technology
 - Polymer Technology
 - Environmental TechnologyAt the applicant's request, the doctoral committee may recognize final examinations in other courses of study at universities of applied sciences as relevant to the subject.
2. the doctoral qualifying examination consists of
 - a) a scientific paper and
 - b) an oral examination in three subjectsFor the conduct of the doctoral qualifying examination, §§ 6, 12, 14, 15 and 30 para. 4, 5 and 8 clause 1 of the Diploma Examination Regulations for Students of Chemistry by Statute, shall apply accordingly. The oral examination requires that the scientific work has been accepted. The person who has given the assignment for the scientific work shall be appointed as examiner for one subject of the oral examination.
3. The scientific work should be limited in terms of topic and task so that it can be completed within four months. In individual cases, the Dean may extend the processing time by a maximum of two months upon justified application by the person submitting the assignment. The scientific work is considered to be rejected if it has not been submitted in due time. The work is to be assessed by the assignment author and another university lecturer as reviewers. If both reviewers are in favor of acceptance or rejection, the scientific work is accepted or rejected, respectively. If one of the reviewers rejects the scientific work, the doctoral committee of the faculty decides, if necessary, after obtaining another review. If the thesis is rejected or considered rejected, the doctoral qualifying examination is failed. The dean shall issue a written decision to the candidate, which must be justified and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal. The Dean shall notify the applicant in writing of the acceptance of the scientific work.
4. the candidate has to undergo the oral examination within six weeks after the notification of the acceptance of the scientific work. This extends
 - a) for the doctoral subject Inorganic Chemistry, Organic Chemistry or Physical Chemistry to the subjects Inorganic Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, Physical Chemistry,
 - b) for the doctoral subject biochemistry to the subjects Biochemistry, Physical chemistry Inorganic or Organic Chemistry,
 - c) for the doctoral subject Pharmaceutical Chemistry to the subjects Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Biochemistry, Organic Chemistry, Physical Chemistry, or Inorganic Chemistry.In the case of other doctoral subjects, the doctoral committee shall determine the subjects of the oral examination. If several subjects are listed in one line in sentence 2 letters b and c, the applicant must choose one subject. The applicant is invited to the oral examination by the examination office with a notice period of two weeks. The oral examination is an individual examination and must be taken within two weeks. The examination lasts 45 minutes in each subject. In addition to the examiner, an assessor must be present who prepares a record of the course of the examination. The respective examiner determines whether the candidate's performance in the examined subject meets the requirements. If the candidate's performance does not meet the requirements in all the subjects examined, the doctoral qualifying examination is failed. The dean issues a written decision to the applicant, which must be justified and accompanied by instructions on how to appeal.
5. The doctoral qualifying examination is passed if the scientific paper and each oral subject examination have been assessed as „passed“.

Enclosure 6
(to § 6 para. 1, no. 3)

Affidavit

I hereby declare in lieu of oath that I have prepared the present work without the unauthorized assistance of third parties and without the use of aids other than those indicated; the data and concepts taken directly or indirectly from other sources are marked with an indication of the literature citation.

In the selection and evaluation of the following material, the persons listed below have assisted me in the manner described in each case, against payment/unpaid:

1.

2.

3.

No other persons were involved in the substantive production of this thesis. In particular, I have not made use of the paid assistance of a doctoral advisor or other persons for this purpose. No one has received from me, either directly or indirectly, any monetary benefits for work related to the content of the submitted dissertation.

The work has not yet been submitted to another examination authority in the same or similar form, either in Germany or abroad.